



Students' Graphing Activities: Representations of What?

Kevin C. Moore University of Georgia







Thompson and Saldanha's (1998) Covariation



"...conceiving of a *multiplicative object*—an object that is produced by uniting in mind two or more quantities simultaneously." - Thompson, 2011

Figurative Thought

 $y = \sin(x)$

Operative Thought

Value of v

Figurative Thought (Piaget, 2001)

...based in, constrained to, and dominated by **perceptual** elements and **sensorimotor** activity (and its results).



- Steffe, 1991

Operative Thought (Piaget, 2001)

Thought that foregrounds the coordination and re-presentation of **internalized actions** (which might entail fragments of figurative thought) and the **transformation** of those



Figurative?

When a person's actions of thought **remain** predominantly within schemata associated with a given level (of control), his or her thinking can be said to be **figurative** in relation to that level. When the actions df thought move to the level of controlling schemata then the thinking can be said to be **operative** in relation to the level of the figurative schemata. That is to say, the relationship between figurative and operative thought is one of figure to ground.

Athens

- Thompson, 1985, p. 195

Operative?

Illustrations

Data Sources and Epistemic Subjects

Data Sources Clinical Interviews (Ginsburg, 1997) Teaching Experiments (Seffe & Thompson, 2000)

What do you think about the students' graph? Is it correct, why or why not? How w

Epistemic Subjects

Epistemic Subjects

A characteristic of thinking that has *stabilized* within a researcher's thinking across the second-order models he or she has created for particular students' mathematical meanings (Steffe & Thompson, 2000a; Steffe, von Glasersfeld, Richards, & Cobb, 1983).



Epistemic Subjects

Levels

VS.

Stages

To what extent are the students' graph **haster to material of the students** by figurative or operative thought?

When questioning the students from the previous problem, they claim, "Well, because we are graphing the inverse of the sine function, we just think about x as the output and y as the input." When giving this explanation, they add the following labels to their graph.



What do you think about the students' graph? Is it correct? Why or why not? How would you respond to the students?

18

...looks like...plain sine graph...going to be different.



... it's a graph everyone knows about.

...increasing at a decreasing rate...



... it's still showing the same thing.

20



A hypothetical student is graphing the relationship y = 3x...



The slope is negative again...



...going the negative direction.

But that's only visual...rate of change...



...positive increase of one...positive increase of three.





"It's backwards..."



Create a graph that relates your distance from Gainesville and your distance from Athens during your trip.

Distance from Athens







Both actions entailed 'starting' on the vertical axis and anticipating a graph traced left-to-right.

Belle held in mind a relationship she wanted to represent.









Abstracted Quantitative Structure

a structure of related quantities a student has internalized as if it is independent of specific figurative material (i.e., representation free). She can re-present that structure as necessary to accommodate to novel situations given the situation enables representing that structure veridically.



What Next?

Constructing and **sustaining** covariational relationships...



...and developing operative representational systems.







THANK YOU

https://sites.google.com/site/advancingreasoning/





This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants DRL-1350342 and DUE-1050595.

